

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/lcm-21-4>.

Reviewer comments

Reviewer A

This is an important, well-written, and very important article.

Thank you for letting me review this meta-synthesis.

The analysis has been performed in a systematically and Scientific way.

Congratulations with this submission.

Reply: Dear Reviewer, Thanks for your kind comments.

Reviewer B

Comment 1: In the section "Literature quality evaluation", line 97, indicate "results were shown in Table 2"

Reply 1: Dear Reviewer, Thanks for your kind comments.

Changes in the text: We have added "The results were shown in Table 2." in the end of section "Literature quality evaluation".

Comment 2: In Table 2 indicate in "Notes", line 13, the meaning of "A", "B" and "C" (overall evaluation).

Reply 2: Dear Reviewer, Thanks for your kind comments.

Changes in the text: We have added the meaning of "A", "B" and "C" in the "Notes" of Table 2.

Comment 3: Remove lines 89, 90 and 91, in the conclusions section, where the objective of the study is repeated.

Reply 3: Dear Reviewer, Thanks for your kind comments.

Changes in the text: We have deleted lines 89, 90 and 91.